11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 04/03/2024

David W. S	layton, Executive Officer /	Clerk of Cou
Bv:	R. Aspiras	Deputy

1	AEGIS LAW FIRM, PC
2	SAMUEL A. WONG, State Bar No. 217104
	KASHIF HAQUE, State Bar No. 218672
3	JESSICA L. CAMPBELL, State Bar No. 280626
	ALEX J. VALLE, State Bar No. 334263
4	9811 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 100
	Irvine, California 92618
5	Telephone: (949) 379-6250
	Facsimile: (949) 379-6251
0	avalle@aegislawfirm.com
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Ryan Love, individually,
0	and on behalf of all others similarly situated.
8	
9	SUDEDIOD COURT OF TH

## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

RYAN LOVE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

Case No. 22STCV04886

Assigned for all purposes to Hon. William F. Highberger Dept. 10

HAYNES FAMILY OF PROGRAMS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND ENTERING JUDGMENT

Date: April 3, 2024 Time: 11:00 a.m. Dept: 10

This matter came on for hearing on April 3, 2024, at 11:00 a.m., in Department 10 of the above-captioned Court on the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769, this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval filed November 15, 2023 and the Amended Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Class Notice ("Settlement Agreement"), a copy of which was filed in conjunction with the Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement heard on October 24, and November 15, 2023, and the instant Motion for Final Approval, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval, a Notice Packet was sent to each Settlement Class Member by first-class mail. These papers informed the Settlement Class of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive an Individual Settlement Payment, their right (a) to comment on or object to the Settlement, (b) to request exclusion from the Settlement and pursue their own remedies, and (c) of their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and to be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members filed written objection to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing.
- 2. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Settlement Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the responses of the Settlement Class. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 3. With respect to the Settlement Class and for purposes of approving this Settlement only, this Court finds and concludes that: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are

ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, and there is a well-defined community of interest among members of the Settlement Class with respect to the subject matter of the Action; (c) the claims of Class Representative Ryan Love are typical of the claims of the members of the Settlement Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately protected the interests of the members of the Settlement Class; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) the counsel of record for the Class Representative, i.e., Class Counsel, are qualified to serve as counsel for Plaintiff in their individual and representative capacities for the Class.

- 4. The Court has certified a Settlement Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the Settlement Agreement as all persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant between August 14, 2017 and December 8, 2022, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.765(a).
  - 5. The Court hereby confirms Aegis Law Firm, PC as Class Counsel.
- 6. The Court hereby confirms Plaintiff Ryan Love as the Class Representative in this Action.
- 7. The Court finds and determines that the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement are fair, reasonable, and adequate and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms, having found that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that the Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class.

- 8. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class and to each Settlement Class Member and that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.
  - 9. The Court hereby approves the Gross Settlement Amount of \$670,000.00.
- 10. The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Payments to be paid to participating Settlement Class Members as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the participating Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency of \$22,500.00 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. The Court finds and determines that the fees and expenses in administrating the Settlement incurred by CPT Group, Inc. in the amount of \$14,750.00, are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount in accordance with the Settlement.
- 13. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Enhancement Award ÅÌ ÊCCÈC of \$10,000.00 for Plaintiff Ryan Love is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment to the Plaintiff/Class Representative in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 14. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the sum of \$223,333.34 and litigation costs of \$21,579.55. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 15. Without affecting the finality of this order or the entry of judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.
- 16. Neither Defendants nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.
- Agreement constitutes an admission by Defendants, nor is this order a finding of the validity of any claims in this case or of any other wrongdoing. Further, the Settlement Agreement is not a concession, and shall not be used as an admission of any wrongdoing, fault, or omission of any entity or persons; nor may any action taken to carry out the terms of the Settlement Agreement be construed as an admission or concession by or against Defendants or any related person or entity.

  BAS å\* { ^} c
- 18. Nothing in this order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement or under this order, including the requirement that Defendants make payment to the participating Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Settlement.
- 20. The Court hereby enters final judgment in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement BÁS å\* { ^} c filed on November 15, 2023 and this Order

1	21.	The Pa	rties will bear their	own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise	
2	provided by this Court's Order awarding Class Counsels' Award for attorneys' fees and litigation				
3	costs.			A. C. II. Along	
4	DATED:	Į <del>D</del> H	, 2024	a.f. Highbogen	
5				Honorable William F. Highberger JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
6				JODGE OF THE SOI ERIOR COOK!	
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					