On March 6, 2025, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

The Court has reviewed the Class Notice and related forms and the notice procedures that the parties implemented in accordance with the Court's Order on Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval of the class action settlement. The Court finds that Class Members have duly been given notice of the Settlement, to participate in the settlement by doing nothing, to contest the number of weeks-worked specified on their Share Forms, exclude themselves from the Settlement, and comment on or object to the Settlement or any of its terms. Having read and considered the Settlement, the papers filed in support of Plaintiffs' unopposed motion, the Court GRANTS final approval of the Settlement and ORDERS AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. All terms used in this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Attorneys Fees and Costs (the "Order") shall have the same meanings given as those terms are used and/or defined in the parties' Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement" or "Agreement"). A copy of the Agreement is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Jonathan Melmed in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and is made a part of this Order.
- 2. The Court is satisfied that CPT Group, Inc., which functioned as the Settlement Administrator, completed the distribution of Class Notice and Share Form to the Class in a manner that comports with California Rule of Court 3.766. The Class Notice informed 689 Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights to do nothing and receive their settlement share, their rights to submit a request for exclusion, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time to respond and to act were provided by each of these procedures. No Class Members filed written objections to the Settlement as part of this notice process, and no Class Members filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing as of the date of filing of this Motion, with no or adequately low number of written objections or written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing at the end of the opt-out and notice period.
 - 3. For settlement purposes only, the Court finally certifies the Class, as defined in the

¹ Notice was sent to all 689 Class Members, but the administrator reports that after skip-tracing, a National Change of Address Search, and re-mailing, 104 notice packets remained undeliverable.

25

24

27

26

28 29 Agreement and as follows:

All individuals who are or were employed by Defendants as nonexempt employees in California during the period from October 13, 2019, through June 10, 2024.

- 4. The Court deems this definition sufficient for the purpose of California Rule of Court 3.765(a), and solely for the purpose of effectuating the Settlement.
- 5. The Court appoints Plaintiffs Lidia Crisanto and Ulises Perez Mendoza as Class Representatives.
- 6. The court appoints Jonathan Melmed and Meghan Higday of Melmed Law Group P.C., Emil Davtyan, David Yeremian, and Natalie Haritoonian of D.Law, Inc., as adequate class counsel.
- 7. The terms of the Agreement, including the Gross settlement amount of \$346,927.50 and the individual Settlement Shares, are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class and to each Class Member, and the Courts grants final approval of the Settlement set forth in the Agreement. The Court orders the Parties to comply with and carry out all terms and provisions of the Settlement, to the extent that the terms thereunder do not contradict or conflict with this Order, in which case the provisions of this Order shall take precedence and supersede the Settlement. Defendants shall pay out the Gross Settlement Amount as follows:

1.	Deadline for Defendant to	[Within 21 days of the Effective Date, pursuant to
	deposit the Gross Settlement	the Settlement Agreement, S.A. 4.3]
	Amount to the Settlement	
	Administrator	
2.	Deadline for Settlement	Within 35 days of the Effective Date, pursuant to
	Administrator to distribute Class	the settlement Agreement, S.A. 4.4]
	Counsel's Fees and Expenses	
3.	Deadline for Settlement	[Within 35 days of the Effective Date, pursuant to
	Administrator to distribute the	the Settlement Agreement, S.A. 4.4]
	Net Settlement Amount to Class	
	Members	
4.	Deadline for Settlement	[Within 35 days of the Effective Date, pursuant to
	Administrator to distribute	the Settlement Agreement, S.A. 4.4]
	Settlement Awards	

- 8. The \$11,500.00 designated for payment to CPT Group, Inc., the Settlement Administrator, is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders the Parties to make the payment to the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the Agreement.
 - 9. The \$115,630.94 amount requested by Plaintiffs and Class Counsel for the Class Counsels'

attorneys' fees is fair and reasonable in light of the benefit obtained for the Class. The Court grants final approval of, awards, and orders the Class Counsel Fees Payment to be made in accordance with the Agreement.

- 10. The \$17,423.75 amount requested by Plaintiffs and Class Counsel for the Class Counsels' reimbursement of expenses is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders the Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment to be made in accordance with the Agreement.
- 11. The \$20,000.00 requested by Plaintiffs for the Class Representative Payments is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders the Class Representative Payments to be made in accordance with the Agreement.
- 12. Nothing in the Settlement or this Order purports to extinguish or waive Defendant's rights to continue to oppose the merits of the claims in this Action or class treatment of these claims in this case if the Settlement fails to become Final or effective, or in any other case without limitation. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Order or the subsequent Judgment that Plaintiffs have asked the Court to enter based on this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations against Defendant in the Court proceeding or any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither the Settlement nor this Order or the subsequent Court Judgment is a finding that certification of the Class is proper for any purpose or proceeding other than for settlement purposes.
- 13. All Class Members who have not opted-out shall be bound by the Settlement and this Order, including the release of claims in favor of Defendant and the other Released Parties as set forth in the Agreement, and are permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendant and the other Released Parties any and all of Class Members' Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 14. Class Representatives Lidia Crisanto and Ulises Perez Mendoza are bound to the general release of claims against Defendant and the other Released Parties as set forth in the Agreement, and are permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendant and the other Released Parties any and all of Plaintiffs Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.
- 15. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs except as otherwise provided in the Settlement Agreement.
- 16. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), the Court retains jurisdiction solely for purposes of enforcing the Settlement Agreement, addressing settlement administration matters, and

earing on the final accounting and distr	ribution of the settlement funds.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	What w. f
ATED: Tæl&@ARÉKGEGÍ	Michael W. Jones
	Hon. Michael W. Jones Judge of Placer County Superior Court