MAY 10 2024

Clerk of the Superior Court By: Y. Mapula, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 37-2022-00044044-CU-OE-CTL

[Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Leave for Plaintiffs to File Third Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint

[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and Declaration of Nicholas J. Ferraro]

Action Filed: November 1, 2022

[Proposed] Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement

captioned Court on Plaintiffs' Motion Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion").

This matter came on for hearing on May 10, 2024 at 10:30 a.m. in Department 60 of the above-

Having fully reviewed the Motion, the supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Declaration of Nicholas J. Ferraro (and its exhibits), including the settlement agreement ("Settlement"), and the notice attached as an exhibit to the Settlement, and in recognition of the Court's duties (to make a preliminary determination as to the reasonableness of any proposed class action settlement; to ensure proper notice is provided to all class members in accordance with due process requirements; and to set a final approval hearing to consider the good faith, fairness, adequacy and reasonableness of the proposed Settlement), the Court makes the following determinations and orders:

THE COURT GRANTS PLAINTIFFS LEAVE TO FILE THE AMENDED COMPLAINT

- Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement filed with Plaintiff's Motion, the Court hereby authorizes and grants leave to Plaintiffs to file the Third Amended Class and Representative Action Complaint.
- Furthermore, consistent with the terms of the Settlement and the intention of the Parties, the Court deems Defendants' current answer on file to be the responsive pleading for the aforementioned amended complaint.

THE COURT GRANTS PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

3. The Court conditionally finds, for the purposes of approving this settlement only, the proposed class meets the requirements for certification under § 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure: (a) the proposed class is ascertainable and so numerous joinder of all class members is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the proposed class, and a well-defined community of interest among members of the proposed class with respect to the subject matter of the class action; (c) the claims of the class representative are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed class; (d) the class representative appears to be adequate to serve in that role; (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy in the context of settlement; and (f) counsel of record for the Plaintiff are qualified to serve as class counsel.

- 4. The class is hereby defined and certified as: "all current and former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant in California at any time from November 1, 2018 through April 30, 2024."
- 5. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, the Settlement, incorporated by this reference in full, and made a part of this Order, appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court.
- 6. The Court further finds, on a preliminary basis, (a) the non-reversionary Gross Settlement Amount is fair and reasonable to the class when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (b) sufficient investigation, research, and informal discovery, have been conducted such that counsel for the parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (c) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks presented by further prosecution of the litigation; and (d) the proposed Settlement was reached through prudent and non-collusive negotiations.
- 7. The Court finds the allocation from the common fund to PAGA penalties, including the LWDA's 75% share, as fair, adequate, and reasonable in light of the overall gross settlement and that the proposed settlement of PAGA penalties has been adequately submitted to the LWDA in advance of the preliminary approval hearing. Therefore, the Court APPROVES the PAGA payment pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(1)(2).
- 8. Accordingly, good cause appearing, the Motion for Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is **GRANTED**.
 - 9. Class members are therefore defined pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement.
- 10. The Court further finds the proposed notice fairly and adequately advises class members of (a) pendency of the Settlement; (b) conditional class certification for settlement purposes only; (c) preliminary Court approval of the proposed Settlement; (d) the date, time and place of the Final Approval Hearing; (e) the terms of the proposed Settlement and the benefits available to the class under the Settlement; (f) their right to receive a proportionate share of the Net Settlement Amount without the need to return a claim form; (g) their right to request exclusion, and the procedures and deadline for

doing so; (h) their right to object to the Settlement, and the procedure and deadline for doing so; and (i) their right to appear at the final approval hearing.

- 11. The Court further finds the proposed notice provides the best practicable notice to the Class and comports with the constitutional requirements, including those of due process. The Court further finds that mailing of the notice to the last known address of all class members with measures taken for verification of an address and skip tracing of bad addresses, as specifically described within the Settlement, constitutes an effective method of notifying class members of their rights with respect to the class action and the Settlement.
- 12. Therefore, for good cause, the Court **APPROVES** the notice for distribution to the class pursuant to the notice procedures set forth in full in the Settlement.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that:

- a. CPT Group be appointed the Administrator of the Settlement, as more specifically set forth in the Settlement;
- b. Ferraro Vega Employment Lawyers and Gaines Law Corporation be appointed as class counsel;
- c. Plaintiffs Robert Bennett and Randall Kunsman be appointed as class representatives;
- d. All deadlines, terms, and conditions set forth in the Settlement incorporated by reference into this Order shall apply and govern the notice procedure and response deadlines;
- e. Any class member who desires to object may appear in Court at the Final Approval Hearing to present oral objections;
- f. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file an Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, or if the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement, does not occur for any reason whatsoever, the Settlement and the proposed Settlement that is the subject of this Order, and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be restored without prejudice to the *status quo ante*, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement.

1	13. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Final Approval Hearing shall be held before the		
2	undersigned at	[hearing time] on	[hearing date], in this
3	Department.		
4	14. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider the fairness, adequacy, and		
5	reasonableness of the proposed Settlement preliminarily approved in this Order and notice process to		
6	be effectuated, and to consider the application for a class representative service payment to the clas		
7	representative, the administration expenses, attorneys' fees, and costs.		
8	15. The Court reserves the right to continue the Final Approval Hearing without further		
9	notice to the class. However, if written objections are submitted, class counsel is ordered to serve		
10	notice on any such objecting class member of the new date and time of the Final Approval Hearing.		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	111	
12 13	Date: 5/10/26	// Haus	Franco
			ole Matthew C. Braner Superior Court
14 15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1	- 4 -	
	[Proposed]	Order Granting Preliminary Approval of	Class Action Settlement